

From: Peter Banks, byWeb Design Studio  
Received: 31 May 2012

*Question 1. Should the New Zealand domain name space be extended to allow registration at the second level, for example yourname.nz?*

Yes

*Question 2. Are there any other undertakings that the Domain Name Commission should make while developing/implementing the policy?*

Yes

*Question 3. Should new second level domains be created to cater for particular interest groups, such as .wine.nz or .sport.nz?*

Yes

Yes, the public would dislike it if one company was to own the sport.co.nz or bank.co.nz etc etc.

*Question 4. Should new moderated second level domains be created to cater for domain names that require special protection, such as .bank.nz?*

Yes

Yes, not allowed to be owned by any commercial business only by your organisation.

*Question 5. Should the registration of some names such as .com.nz or .gov.nz, be prohibited at the second level to minimise potential confusion? What names, if any, should be prohibited?*

Yes

Yes not on, it would be very confusing to the rest of the world. .com .gov is already used with great effect and opening that up would be confusing. Keep it simple stupid is the key.

*Question 6. Do you agree with the rationale for the Sunrise Period that would enable existing .nz domain name holders first chance to register names at the second level? Why?*

Agree

I think companies with trademarks should be able to lock those. eg ANZ, Westpac, Coke, Z, BP etc etc. Unless it is a word used in everyday use. It really does need a Domainz Regulator to monitor the 2nd level.

*Question 7. Who should be allowed to register a domain name at the second level when there are competing registrations at the third level?*

Anyone, but with approval and a means to contest for 2 months after. Otherwise there might be the sex industry registering domains with names like .dike.nz and .balls.nz and a few others I won't put to print.

*Question 8. Assuming only persons with a conflicting third level domain name may apply, how should that conflict be resolved? By consent? Or some other mechanism?*

Other (below)

Your Domainz Regulator.

*Question 9. Should the Domain Name Commission consider extending its Dispute Resolution Service for a limited period to cover particular sub-domains when considering whether a name registered at the second level infringes a complainant's rights?*

Yes

Good idea. But not too long a period. 2 months

*Question 10. Is the approach as outlined in the proposed amended policy in Appendix C appropriate? Why?*

Yes

Probably, but if a small NZ operator is taken on by a US company who wants a name they are history. I know I was using a name for my software business and a US company threatened legal action. We were small so we took the source code and sold the business to them. Next day we started up under a new name. They were no wiser as they never came to NZ.

*Question 11. Are there any other comments you would like to make relating to this consultation?*

Yes speed, I'm 62 and want to see it happen.