

From: Kuznetsov Alex
Received: 12 September 2012

Question 1. Should the New Zealand domain name space be extended to allow registration at the second level, for example yourname.nz?

Yes

Of course, second level domain name registration is a world wide experience.

Question 2. Are there any other undertakings that the Domain Name Commission should make while developing/implementing the policy?

No

Question 3. Should new second level domains be created to cater for particular interest groups, such as .wine.nz or .sport.nz?

Yes

I think the most of common group names should be reserved, such as bank, shop, etc. The second level domain name registration can be opened for personal names only.

Question 4. Should new moderated second level domains be created to cater for domain names that require special protection, such as .bank.nz?

Yes

Same as Question 3 comment.

Question 5. Should the registration of some names such as .com.nz or .gov.nz, be prohibited at the second level to minimise potential confusion? What names, if any, should be prohibited?

Yes

I think all domain suffixes used for 3-rd level domain name registration should be prohibited.

Question 6. Do you agree with the rationale for the Sunrise Period that would enable existing .nz domain name holders first chance to register names at the second level? Why?

Agree

This should protect them from domain name theft.

Question 7. Who should be allowed to register a domain name at the second level when there are competing registrations at the third level?

The first registered 3rd level domain should have privilege.

Question 8. Assuming only persons with a conflicting third level domain name may apply, how should that conflict be resolved? By consent? Or some other mechanism?

Other (below)

The first registered 3rd level domain should have privilege.

Question 9. Should the Domain Name Commission consider extending its Dispute Resolution Service for a limited period to cover particular sub-domains when considering whether a name registered at the second level infringes a complainant's rights?

Yes

Maybe this should prevent superfluous disputes.

Question 10. Is the approach as outlined in the proposed amended policy in Appendix C appropriate? Why?

Yes

Question 11. Are there any other comments you would like to make relating to this consultation?

No Response