

From: Simon Larsen
Received: Thursday, 20 June 2013

Question 1. If you consider registering a .nz domain name in the future, would you like anyname.nz to be an option rather than a name under just the second levels, e.g. anyname.co.nz?

Yes

Question 2. Would likely short term confusion over a transition period be an acceptable consequence for offering a long term option of allowing .nz registrations at the second level?

Yes

Question 3. Do you agree that existing .nz registrants should get a priority right in obtaining their name at the second level if this proposal proceeds?

Yes

Question 4. Do you agree with the approach in the draft amended policies if we proceed with this? What, if anything, would you change?

Have not read the detailed draft amended policies

Question 5. Do you support the proposal that a current registrant of a .nz name at the third level should be able to reserve that name at the second level for no cost if they wish to block others from registering it but not actually utilise it themselves?

No

I think it's reasonable that you pay a cost-covering fee to a domain name provider to 'hold' the rights to a name. Just like you have to do today if you have, say a .com and a .co.nz

Question 6. Is two years an appropriate time to wait before reviewing policy to allow a reservation at no cost? Should this time frame be longer?

No

Not great questionnaire etiquette asking two questions in one...

Question 7. Is two years an appropriate time to wait before reviewing the policy to extend the Dispute Resolution Service to sub-domains of second level registrations? Should this time frame be longer?

No

Not great questionnaire etiquette asking two questions in one...

Question 8. Do you see any benefits from allowing registrations at the second level which have not been covered in this paper?

Have not read the detailed draft amended policies

Question 9. Do you see any detrimental effects from allowing registrations at the second level which have not been covered in this paper?

Have not read the detailed draft amended policies