

From: MarkMonitor Inc., Leana Vitruk  
Received: Friday, 26 July 2013

*Question 1. If you consider registering a .nz domain name in the future, would you like anyname.nz to be an option rather than a name under just the second levels, e.g. anyname.co.nz?*

Yes

*Question 2. Would likely short term confusion over a transition period be an acceptable consequence for offering a long term option of allowing .nz registrations at the second level?*

Yes

An FAQ on registry website could aide or eliminate any perceived confusion.

*Question 3. Do you agree that existing .nz registrants should get a priority right in obtaining their name at the second level if this proposal proceeds?*

Yes

*Question 4. Do you agree with the approach in the draft amended policies if we proceed with this? What, if anything, would you change?*

No

Would suggest the following to be considered in case there are competing registrants; domain be given to the registrants that registered the domain name first (and/or held the domain name the longest time), auction. We agree with the drafted amended policies with the suggestion above.

*Question 5. Do you support the proposal that a current registrant of a .nz name at the third level should be able to reserve that name at the second level for no cost if they wish to block others from registering it but not actually utilise it themselves?*

Yes

*Question 6. Is two years an appropriate time to wait before reviewing policy to allow a reservation at no cost? Should this time frame be longer?*

Yes

*Question 7. Is two years an appropriate time to wait before reviewing the policy to extend the Dispute Resolution Service to sub-domains of second level registrations? Should this time frame be longer?*

Yes

*Question 8. Do you see any benefits from allowing registrations at the second level which have not been covered in this paper?*

No

*Question 9. Do you see any detrimental effects from allowing registrations at the second level which have not been covered in this paper?*

No