

From: Lionel Jelens
Received: Friday, 19 July 2013

Question 1. If you consider registering a .nz domain name in the future, would you like anyone.nz to be an option rather than a name under just the second levels, e.g. anyone.co.nz?

Yes

Short is good. The most valuable domains are short. Traffic volumes are increasing and so is required capacity. When the Isle of Man allowed .im as well as .co.im those aware only registered .im. If you go to a .im registrar now a lot only have.co im listed directly. The .im is effectively under the counter, having to be queried by entering it appended to the name you want. .im has put the skids under.co.im. That must happen here. Apart from .gov, .mil , (confidence and security reasons), the existing structure will be like Titanic. It will stay afloat for a while, but is doomed. Like film cameras, no one will buy the more cumbersome .co.nz unless they can't get .nz. I certainly wouldn't.

Question 2. Would likely short term confusion over a transition period be an acceptable consequence for offering a long term option of allowing .nz registrations at the second level?

Yes

Yes.

Question 3. Do you agree that existing .nz registrants should get a priority right in obtaining their name at the second level if this proposal proceeds?

Yes

Yes but. For example, .net.nz is a consolation prize basically, .co.nz having the lions share. Yes I know .net suits some names better than .co, but not many. Some names are registered in all categories only because the best one had gone. How is priority to be managed? Like when .kiwi.nz became available, free for all, or ballot, auction, or those in power making value judgements on the merit of contenders?

Question 4. Do you agree with the approach in the draft amended policies if we proceed with this? What, if anything, would you change?

No Response

Question 5. Do you support the proposal that a current registrant of a .nz name at the third level should be able to reserve that name at the second level for no cost if they wish to block others from registering it but not actually utilise it themselves?

No

No. Buy it or get out of the queue. Lost income for dnc, contrary to overseas practice, and they will come round anyway. They can URL forward to their existing site without even involving their current provider if they want by registering with another registrar. Email can be done at leisure if needed. \$25 and five minutes on line, fixed.

Question 6. Is two years an appropriate time to wait before reviewing policy to allow a reservation at no cost? Should this time frame be longer?

No

Get it right at the beginning.

Question 7. Is two years an appropriate time to wait before reviewing the policy to extend the Dispute Resolution Service to sub-domains of second level registrations? Should this time frame be longer?

No

As above.

Question 8. Do you see any benefits from allowing registrations at the second level which have not been covered in this paper?

No Response

Question 9. Do you see any detrimental effects from allowing registrations at the second level which have not been covered in this paper?

No Response