

From: Grant Collingwood, CTAS NZ Ltd  
Received: Tuesday, 9 July 2013

*Question 1. If you consider registering a .nz domain name in the future, would you like anyone.nz to be an option rather than a name under just the second levels, e.g. anyone.co.nz?*

Yes

This would allow companies to enhance their presentation through pride in the NZ brand, especially those who promote the 100% NZ image.

*Question 2. Would likely short term confusion over a transition period be an acceptable consequence for offering a long term option of allowing .nz registrations at the second level?*

Yes

We need to think long term, and the bigger picture.

*Question 3. Do you agree that existing .nz registrants should get a priority right in obtaining their name at the second level if this proposal proceeds?*

Yes

This is necessary, so that companies are not disadvantaged.

*Question 4. Do you agree with the approach in the draft amended policies if we proceed with this? What, if anything, would you change?*

Yes

The consent approach where two or more domain name holders have the same name at the third level will not work because some holders may want money from the other holders, before releasing consent. Some holders may not care, but when contacted, may see an opportunity to get money. I think that Preference should be given to the oldest registration, and if any objections are raised, then the Domain Name Commission should review and make a decision, after reviewing the applicant's requests.

*Question 5. Do you support the proposal that a current registrant of a .nz name at the third level should be able to reserve that name at the second level for no cost if they wish to block others from registering it but not actually utilise it themselves?*

Yes

*Question 6. Is two years an appropriate time to wait before reviewing policy to allow a reservation at no cost? Should this time frame be longer?*

Yes

Two years should be fine.

*Question 7. Is two years an appropriate time to wait before reviewing the policy to extend the Dispute Resolution Service to sub-domains of second level registrations? Should this time frame be longer?*

Yes

Two years should be fine.

*Question 8. Do you see any benefits from allowing registrations at the second level which have not been covered in this paper?*

Yes

Significant reduction in typing, which benefits website advertising, sharing of websites and also sharing and typing email addresses.

*Question 9. Do you see any detrimental effects from allowing registrations at the second level which have not been covered in this paper?*

Yes

Email redirections may require reviewing, and where users have used their email as their logins for various online login systems, they may need to maintain both the three levels as well as their new two level domains. But I don't think this should prevent us moving to two level domains.