

From: Chris Streatfield  
Received: Wednesday, 31 July 2013

*Question 1. If you consider registering a .nz domain name in the future, would you like anyname.nz to be an option rather than a name under just the second levels, e.g. anyname.co.nz?*

Yes

*Question 2. Would likely short term confusion over a transition period be an acceptable consequence for offering a long term option of allowing .nz registrations at the second level?*

Yes

*Question 3. Do you agree that existing .nz registrants should get a priority right in obtaining their name at the second level if this proposal proceeds?*

Yes

*Question 4. Do you agree with the approach in the draft amended policies if we proceed with this? What, if anything, would you change?*

Yes

*Question 5. Do you support the proposal that a current registrant of a .nz name at the third level should be able to reserve that name at the second level for no cost if they wish to block others from registering it but not actually utilise it themselves?*

No

In my view this subverts the first-come first-served policy that has stood INZ so well over the years. Any name that a current 2nd level-holders wants to prevent other from using should be registered in the normal (e.g. during, or post, the sunrise period).

*Question 6. Is two years an appropriate time to wait before reviewing policy to allow a reservation at no cost? Should this time frame be longer?*

I think this proposed change should be removed from the policy altogether. Assuming the overall change to anyname.nz is accepted, and the proposal to keep the option of reservation at no cost included in the policy, then the sooner this is reviewed the better in my view.

*Question 7. Is two years an appropriate time to wait before reviewing the policy to extend the Dispute Resolution Service to sub-domains of second level registrations? Should this time frame be longer?*

Yes

*Question 8. Do you see any benefits from allowing registrations at the second level which have not been covered in this paper?*

An opportunity for more ordinary NZers to take up domain name registrations without believing it to be only something businesses 'do'. Do advertise widely the opportunity to have a dn if only for use as an email identifier, and as has been noted on INZ lists have some basic information on the INZ website (not just the DNC website\*) about this. \* With all respect to DNCL it is not so well-known as the INZ site (which itself could be more widely known too ;-).

*Question 9. Do you see any detrimental effects from allowing registrations at the second level which have not been covered in this paper?*

No

Overall I'm in favour of accepting the change to registrations at the second level.