

From: Cecilia Russell, RUSSELLART  
Received: Wednesday, 19 June 2013

*Question 1. If you consider registering a .nz domain name in the future, would you like anyone.nz to be an option rather than a name under just the second levels, e.g. anyone.co.nz?*

Yes

Simpler. Better. Especially dealing with overseas contacts - as I often do.

*Question 2. Would likely short term confusion over a transition period be an acceptable consequence for offering a long term option of allowing .nz registrations at the second level?*

Yes

*Question 3. Do you agree that existing .nz registrants should get a priority right in obtaining their name at the second level if this proposal proceeds?*

Yes

*Question 4. Do you agree with the approach in the draft amended policies if we proceed with this? What, if anything, would you change?*

Yes

*Question 5. Do you support the proposal that a current registrant of a .nz name at the third level should be able to reserve that name at the second level for no cost if they wish to block others from registering it but not actually utilise it themselves?*

Yes

*Question 6. Is two years an appropriate time to wait before reviewing policy to allow a reservation at no cost? Should this time frame be longer?*

Yes

2 years - that's plenty of time.

*Question 7. Is two years an appropriate time to wait before reviewing the policy to extend the Dispute Resolution Service to sub-domains of second level registrations? Should this time frame be longer?*

Yes

Time frame ok (you have asked 2 questions in 6. and 7. - which require a yes and a no answer....)

*Question 8. Do you see any benefits from allowing registrations at the second level which have not been covered in this paper?*

No

*Question 9. Do you see any detrimental effects from allowing registrations at the second level which have not been covered in this paper?*

No