

Second Level Domains Policy Review Submission

From: Pete Mundy
Received: 23 October 2003

1.

Q: Are the twelve second level domains still appropriate for their communities of interest?

A: I believe so, with some overlap between .ac and .school

2.

Q: Should any of the open 2LDs be moderated? (.ac, .co, .gen, .geek, .maori, .net, .org, .school)

A:

comments: Yes, .school should be moderated to ensure only genuine schools can obtain .school 2LD. This paved the way for blanket laws with regard to sending pr0n spam to .school e-mail addresses.

3.

Q: Is there any need for the rationalisation of the current 2LDs?

A: I don't believe so

3.1

Q: If so, what process should be used for the removal or closing of existing 2LDs?:

A: N/A

3.2

Q: Should any such process be included in the 2LD policy?:

A:

4.

Q: What criteria (types of criteria and specific examples) should be applied to the creation of new 2LDs?

A: Confirmation of eligibility for application of moderated 2LD.

5.

Q: Is the current process for creating new 2LDs too simple, too complex or about right?

A: about right

comments:

6.

Q: How much, if anything, should an application for the creation of a new 2LD cost?

A: No change from current procedure necessary

7.

Q: Who, if anyone, should be able to block the creation of new 2LDs? If so, why and how?

A: Legal trademark owners. Through the courts forcing registrant to release domain to trademark owner.

8.

Q: Should there be different criteria or processes for the creation of moderated as opposed to open Second Level Domains?

A: no

9.

Q: Is the level of public input in the process adequate; excessive; or inadequate?

A: adequate

comments:

10.

Q: Are the methods currently used to seek public input (submissions; discussion period; straw poll) the best available, or would you suggest other/additional methods?

A: no suggestions

11.

Q: Do you think direct public registrations should be accepted at the second level?

A: no

11.1

Q: Why? What are the advantages or disadvantages of such an approach?

A: i prefer to keep with the existing system which allows for some overlap with names

12.

Q: If direct registrations are allowed at the second level, what kinds of transition arrangements would need to be put in place for existing third level registrants?

A: none - existing 2LD would have to stay

13.

Q: What is the best way to deal with any conflicts between existing third level registrants if the second level is opened?

A: first come first served basis

14.

Q: Should registration fees be different (higher/lower) for Second Level domain registrations if they are opened directly to the public?

A: no

15.

Q: Are there other options around the future of the Second Level that the working group should consider?

A:

16.

Q: Are there any other issues you think the Working Group needs to consider in the course of the review?

A: